Membrane tension regulates motility by controlling lamellipodium organization
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Many cell movements proceed via a crawling mechanism, where polymerization of the cytoskeletal protein actin pushes out the leading edge membrane. In this model, membrane tension has been seen as an impediment to filament growth and cell motility. Here we use a simple model of cell motility, the Caenorhabditis elegans sperm cell, to test how membrane tension affects movement and cytoskeleton dynamics. To enable these analyses, we create transgenic worm strains carrying sperm with a fluorescently labeled cytoskeleton. Via osmotic shock and deoxycholate treatments, we relax or tense the cell membrane and quantify apparent membrane tension changes by the membrane tether technique. Unexpectedly we find that increases in tension actually speed up motility. We propose that membrane tension is a master regulator of lamellipodial directionality and that the benefit of having a tense membrane within some range outweighs the negative effects on polymerization. It will be interesting to see if this paradigm is applicable to actin-containing cells as well.

Results and Discussion
Production of Worm Strains Carrying Fluorescent Major Sperm Protein. We generated transgenic worm strains that produced MSP-142 labeled on the N terminus with tagRFP-T (7) specifically in sperm cells via either the peel-1 promotor (8) or the spe-11 promotor, using the Mos1-mediated single-copy insertion technique (9) (Fig. 1A). When extruded from male worms and activated by pronase digestion to form lamellipodia (10), strains carrying the spe-11 promotor gave sperm cells with fluorescent lamellipodia that were mottled in appearance, whereas fluorescence was dim and diffuse in the cell body (Fig. 1B). Strains carrying the peel-1 promotor gave enhanced fluorescence allowing for the visualization of MSP superstructures in the lamellipodium (Fig. 1B and Movie S1). Similar fiber complexes had previously been observed by electron microscopy in the sperm from the nematode Ascaris (4). In addition, in peel-1 strains, MSP fluorescence was evident at the plasma membrane encasing the cell body.

Active sperm cells either adhered and crawled on the substrate or were stationary but maintained a dynamic lamellipodium. In the stationary case, inability to translocate was due to a total lack of adhesion under the lamellipodium, as evidenced by the fact that these cells were either completely afloat and drifting, or were stationary but maintained a dynamic lamellipodium. In both crawling and stationary cells, fluorescent features were observed to flow in the lamellipodium. The localization of fluorescence in the cell and its dynamic properties indicated that
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behavior of extruded fluorescent sperm was qualitatively similar to that of in vivo sperm, and extruded sperm were easier to manipulate, we characterized MSP cytoskeletal dynamics and sperm cell movement using extruded, pronase-activated cells crawling on glass. By kymograph analysis, we analyzed cell displacement speed as well as retrograde flows during movement and stationary phases, as illustrated in Fig. 1C (Movie S3).

Effect of Membrane Tension on Movement. Using this experimental system, we probed the role of membrane tension in cell movement and cytoskeleton dynamics. Following published methods, we inflated cells by hypoosmotic shock thus tensing the membrane, or we relaxed the cell membrane either by hyperosmotic shock, which deflates cells, or via low concentrations of the detergent deoxycholate, known to decrease membrane tension without changing cell volume (3). In all cases, decreasing membrane tension universally led to a decrease in the speed of cell translocation (Fig. 2A). On the contrary, swelling the cells resulted in an increase in the speed of cell translocation. We verified that we were indeed affecting cell volume by measuring the spread area of crawling cells: Under hypoosmotic shock, cells were significantly larger than control cells or deoxycholate-treated cells, while hyperosmotically shocked cells were significantly smaller (Fig. 2B). In the model where membrane tension is seen as a barrier to cytoskeletal polymerization, one could expect that relaxed membrane conditions might result in comparatively longer cells, but this was not the case, as shown by the fact that the aspect ratio of the cells was identical under all conditions tested (Fig. 2B).

To confirm that we were really affecting membrane tension via our osmotic shock and deoxycholate treatments, we measured effective membrane tension via the membrane tether technique. In these experiments, the force needed to pull a thin membrane tube from the surface of a cell is measured using an optical tweezer setup (12), and this tether force $f_t$ can be related to an effective membrane tension (or “cell tension”) $\sigma$, which comprises the tension of the lipid bilayer, but also the attachment of the cytoskeleton to the membrane, through the relationship $\sigma = f_t^2/(8\eta^2 s^2)$, where $s \approx 10^{-9}$ N m is the bending rigidity of the tether membrane (13, 14). We observed that tether forces were significantly lower for cells under relaxed membrane conditions, mirroring the effects observed on cell speed (Fig. 2C and Movie S4). For hypoosmotic shock, tethers pulled after the 30–45 min time span it took to set up a tube-pulling experiment exhibited the same force as control cells. However, when we pulled tubes in isotonic medium and held them steady as we flowed in water to dilute the media, we observed a reproducible spike in tether force, which was never observed in control tubes (Fig. 2D). These results suggest that cells confronted with hypoosmotic shock relaxed their membrane tension over long times, perhaps due to passive fusion of membranous organelles with the plasma membrane. Speed measurements were always taken within 20 min after the application of hypoosmotic shock, so presumably before cell adjustment could set in. The tether force relaxation observed after the spike in Fig. 2D is of unknown origin, but adjustment to cell tension as $\sigma$ is also observed in control cells (Fig. 2D, blue curve) and has been observed in other cell types in the absence of osmotic shock (15). Under isotonic conditions, similar tether forces were obtained when tubes were pulled from the cell body or from the lamellipodium of activated sperm cells, despite the fact that the MSP cytoskeleton by fluorescence microscopy was of different densities and organizations in these different regions. This argued in favor of tether forces being a reflection of real membrane tension, although we cannot rule out a cytoskeleton contribution. Comparing strong hypertonic and isotonic conditions, there was a fivefold variation of the apparent membrane tension (from $\sigma \approx 0.03$ pN/nm in strong hypertonic medium to $\sigma \approx 0.15$ pN/nm in

![Fig. 1.](image) tagRFP-T:MSF is expressed exclusively in sperm cells and is competent for polymerization. (A) A worm expressing MSP-142 N-terminally fused with tagRFP-T driven by the spe-11 promoter. (Left) Transmitted light image; (Right) epifluorescence image. O, oocyte; G, gonad arm; S, spermatheca, and E, fertilized embryo. Fluorescence is seen only in the spermatheca, where sperm cells are stored. (B) A representative field of active and inactive sperm cells containing tagRFP-T:MSF driven by the spe-11 promoter (Left) and the peel-1 promoter (Right). In inactive round sperm cells, fluorescence is uniform (arrows), whereas in activated cells, fluorescence is concentrated in the lamellipodia and displays bright patches (arrowheads) or is visible as a cortex near the plasma membrane (asterisk, peel-1 strains only). (C) Typical analysis of flows and movement of sperm cells by kymograph analysis. Kymographs were created from a line drawn on the long axis of the cell near the center. (Top) Still images of a crawling cell and associated kymograph. The position of the cell body is indicated by the white dotted line, and the time on the last panel is the total elapsed time. (Bottom) Still images of a stationary cell and associated kymograph. Arrowheads point to features undergoing retrograde flow toward the cell body and producing streaks in the kymographs. Examples of retrograde flow and movement lines are indicated on the kymographs. In A and B, bar = 5 µm. In C, vertical scale bar = 5 µm, and horizontal scale bar = 20 s.
Dictyostelium observed for cells that employ a blebbing mechanism of motility, decreased membrane tension inhibited sperm cell motility. That, opposite to established models for crawling cell movement, spike after water injection (red curve, arrow) before relaxing, whereas tubes water began to be injected (red curve, arrowhead) or not (blue curve). Evolution of transitioning cells, all the slopes on the kymograph were the same, whether the cell was crawling or stationary (Fig. 3C). (For the aligned kymograph, the lines reported velocity with respect to the cell, instead of with respect to the substrate.) This meant that, from the cell perspective, there was no difference in cytoskeletal dynamics in the crawling versus the stationary case and supported the relevance of the stationary retrograde flow measurements as reflecting the apparent polymerization rate of the motile cell.

**Membrane Tension Controls Cytoskeleton Dynamics.** Cell crawling is a complex process involving polymerization, cell-substrate adhesion, and retraction of the back of the cell during movement. Changes in membrane tension could affect any one of these parameters and reduce or augment displacement speed. We therefore calculated apparent polymerization rates under different membrane tension conditions by summing up forward movement and whatever was “lost” to retrograde flow (18). Retrograde flow in translocating sperm cells was measured as described in Fig. 1C and was found to be of low magnitude but nonzero, and independent of membrane tension conditions (Fig. 3A). However when we summed the absolute values of retrograde flow with the respective translocation speed, we observed that tension relaxation produced a reduction in apparent polymerization rates, whereas tension increase enhanced measured polymerization rates (Fig. 3B, Left).

This observation was further confirmed by measuring the retrograde flows of stationary cells under the different membrane tension conditions. The stationary, active cell is a particularity of nematode sperm; in the absence of adhesion, actin-containing cells lose polarity and round up. Although its role in nematode reproduction is unclear, the stationary sperm cell provides a tool for evaluating pure cytoskeleton turnover without adhesion and confirmed the downward trend in apparent polymerization speed with membrane relaxation (Fig. 3B, Right). Although rare events, single cells sometimes switched between crawling and stationary regimes, and when an algorithm was used to align the cell bodies of transitioning cells, all the slopes on the kymograph were the same, whether the cell was crawling or stationary (Fig. 3C).

**Membrane Tension Controls Lamellipodial Organization.** From the point of view of a single growing filament, a floppy membrane is expected to provide the least hindrance to growth, so we sought an explanation for our results on a more global scale and hypothesized that lamellipodial organization could be controlled by membrane tension. To evaluate the 3D morphology of our cells, we labeled the membrane with a fluorescent dye and imaged active stationary cells via Z stacks (Fig. 4A and Movie S6). Cells under hypoosmotic shock had a smoother appearance than isotonic cells, whereas deoxycholate and hypertonic cells displayed numerous lateral protrusions. This was further confirmed in 3D when we reconstructed an XZ slice of the lamellipodium. The varying “roughness” of the lamellipodium is evident in the overlay of several typical contours (normalized for cell size) (Fig. 4B). We next quantified the roughness of the cell contour by comparing the contours to an ellipse and calculating the area of the deviations with a Matlab script. We found that membrane tension relaxation correlated with an increase in roughness of the lamellipodial membrane while it did not change the (much smaller) roughness of the cell body (Fig. 4C and Fig. S3). These observations strongly suggest that roughness is caused by underlying cytoskeleton in the lamellipodium exerting pushing forces against the membrane and deforming it.

To provide evidence for this hypothesis, we measured the distribution of fluorescent MSP fibers’ orientation, θ, with respect to the direction of movement, which coincided with the long axis of the lamellipodium (defined as θ = 0°) (Fig. 4D and E). We observed that increasing membrane tension resulted in a narrower distribution of orientations (Fig. S4) and that fibers were on average more aligned with the lamellipodium long axis under high membrane tension conditions, with a mean fiber angle of approximately 30° in the case of hypoosmotic shock, and 55°
in the case of strong hyperosmotic shock (Fig. 4E). Polymerization contributes to retrograde flow in stationary cells and force production in motile cells only to the extent to which it is oriented along the long axis/direction of movement of the cell. Therefore fiber misalignment leads to an apparent polymerization velocity (the stationary retrograde velocity in nonmotile cells) smaller than the real polymerization velocity as expressed by the relation \( v_{app} \approx v_r \cos \theta \), where \( \cos \theta \) is the average fiber orientation (Fig. 4F). Indeed when we plot average apparent polymerization velocities from Fig. 3B versus \( \cos \theta \) under different membrane tension conditions, we obtain a linear correlation (Fig. 4G). The linear correlation provides evidence for the idea that membrane tension affects only the degree to which polymerization is streamlined in the productive direction and not the actual polymerization velocity. Additionally, we observed that enhanced alignment was concomitant with an increase in the average length of fibers (Fig. 4H).

It is conceivable that fiber length and alignment could derive from the cell size changes associated with osmotic shock; however, we saw the same trend with deoxycholate treatment, which does not affect cell size, pointing to a genuine effect of membrane tension. The exact mechanism of how tension reorganizes the lamellipodium would require a precise knowledge of fiber formation and the dynamics of fiber reorientation in a viscoelastic cytosol. Nevertheless, some insight on the streamlining effect of membrane tension on MSP fibers can be obtained by comparing the energy cost of membrane deformation around aligned and misaligned fibers (Fig. 4F). The diameter \( a \) of a fiber is likely to be larger than the diameter of an empty membrane tether, so the energy of the tubular membrane surrounding \( N \) independent fibers protruding a length \( L \) out of the cell is of order \( N E_L \), where \( E_L \approx \pi a \alpha L \) is the membrane energy for a single fiber. If these fibers are parallel and close to one another, the energy becomes \( E_X \approx \pi \alpha a N L \), where \( \alpha \approx \sqrt{Na} \) is the diameter of the \( N \)-fiber bundle (estimated by simple volume conservation). There is thus a net energy gain \( \Delta E_L \approx E_L (1 - 1/\sqrt{N}) \) per fiber to join a \( N \)-fiber bundle. This simple argument (similar to the one proposed in ref. 19) shows the existence of membrane-mediated interactions that tend to coorient neighboring fibers, an effect that increases with membrane tension. The dependence of filament length on membrane tension could be due to the fact that the growing tips of aligned filaments remain longer in the polymerizing zone at the very tip of the lamellipodium. We verified the existence of a restricted polymerizing zone at the lamellipodium tip in our cells via photobleaching experiments (Fig. S5). MSP assembly occurred exclusively at the front of the cell and not along the sides throughout the lamellipodium, and this was likewise the case under relaxed membrane tension conditions.

Concluding Remarks. In conclusion, production of a fluorescently labeled MSP cytoskeleton has allowed us to exploit the nematode sperm cell as a simple model of cell motility. We observe that MSP cytoskeleton displays many of the same dynamic principles as actin, such as localized leading edge cytoskeleton assembly, retrograde flow during movement, and displacement speed that is correlated with the speed of polymerization. We have used this system to evaluate how membrane tension affects cytoskeleton dynamics in a translocating cell. We conclude that membrane tension is necessary in the nematode sperm cell for optimal motility and that tension tends to orient filaments along the lamellipodium long axis, thus leading to a larger contribution of polymerization to movement or to stationary retrograde flow.

There are indications in the literature that this strategy could also be operational in acto-myosin-containing cells. Xenopus epithelial cells show speed decreases upon hyperosmotic shock (20), whereas fish keratocytes are known to move better in 70% media (diluted with water) as opposed to undiluted media (21). If proven to be general to other cell types, our results will change how we think of membrane tension in the cell motility field.

Materials and Methods

Worm Strains, Culture and Sample Preparation. Standard procedures were used for culture of worms. All strains were grown and imaged at 20–23 °C. Using the Mos1-mediated single-copy insertion technique (9), two to seven independent homozygous single-copy insertion lines were generated with fluorescent tags fused to the N or C terminus of MSP-142, driven by the sperm specific promoter from spe-11 and inserted at Mos 1 sites 1t5605 on chromosome II or ct10882 on chromosome IV. Constructs carrying [Pspe-11::tagRFP-T::msp-142::unc-54UTR; (CB) unc-119(+)] II showed the highest levels of expression. Additionally, constructs of tagRFP-T fused to the N terminus of MSP-142 driven by the peel-1 promoter with the msp-142 UTR were created, and these lines displayed brighter fluorescence than the corresponding spe-11 strains. The data in this study were generated from strains carrying N-terminally tagged MSP driven by the spe-11 promoter (EG5160 and EG5164) or the peel-1 promoter (EG5897). Male worms were produced either by heat shock or by crossing fluorescent strains with CB1489 [him-8(e1489)] IV.

Sperm cells were prepared as follows: Young adult male worms were isolated for 1 d, then picked into 5 μL of sperm media (50 mM Hepes, pH 7.0, 45 mM NaCl, 25 mM KC1, 1 mM MgSO₄, and 5 mM CaCl₂) with 16 mg/mL polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) 40,000 molecular weight (Sigma) and 200 μg/mL of the activator Pronase (Sigma Protease P6911) on an untreated glass slide. An 18 × 18 mm coverslip was laid over the drop to crush worms and release sperm cells. The coverslip was sealed with a molten 1 : 1 : 1 mixture of Vaseline, Lanolin, and Parafilm (Valap), and samples were imaged immediately. The
membrane dye FM 1-43 (Molecular Probes) was added to the sperm media at a final concentration of 5 μg/mL. Deoxycholate (Sigma) was used at a concentration of 150 μM, and sucrose was added to a final concentration of 100 or 175 mM. The control conditions with sperm media, pronase, and PVP had an osmolarity of 175 mOsm. Osmolarities were measured on the Vapro 5520 vapor pressure osmometer (Wescor). For in vivo imaging of sperm cells, young adult C. elegans were mated with EG5897 males for 4–6 h, then incubated in paralytic solution [0.01% tetramisole (Sigma), 0.1% tricaine (Sigma)] for 30–60 min before being mounted on 3% agarose pads under a coverslip and sealed with Valap.

**Image Collection and Analysis.** Spinning disk confocal fluorescent images (paired with bright field) were acquired, and photobleaching experiments were performed at 23 °C on a Nikon Eclipse TE2000-E microscope equipped with an oil immersion objective 100× 1.40NA, a piezo stage (Nanoscan Prior), a Yokogawa CSU22 confocal head and a HQ2 CCD camera (Roper Scientific), 491- and 561-nm diode lasers for fluorescence excitation, and a head with 473- and 532-nm lasers for photobleaching (Roper Scientific laser launch) controlled by Metamorph software 7.5 (Molecular Devices) with the Live Replay function (Roper Scientific). Z stacks were acquired using the streaming function with 300 nm between planes (27 planes per stack). Whole worm phase contrast/fluorescence (Fig. 1A) was taken on an IX70 Olympus inverted microscope with an Olympus 100× 1.35NA phase-contrast objective (Olympus) equipped with a Mercury Arc lamp and recorded with a charge-coupled device camera (Roper Scientific) driven by MetaMorph software.

Image sequences were analyzed with the kymograph function of Meta-morph 7.5 software. Differences between datasets were evaluated by an unpaired Student’s t test, and a p value of 0.05 or less was considered significant.

Fig. 4. Tension controls lamellipodial organization in 3D. (A) A confocal slice showing the plasma membrane labeling under different conditions of membrane tension. Hypo: hypotonic media (130 mOsm); Iso: isotonic media (175 mOsm); DC: 150 μM deoxycholate; Hyper++: strong hypertonic media (350 mOsm). (B) Overlay of 10 typical contours derived from XZ planes as described in Materials and Methods under conditions as in A. The inner concentric circle is at 1 μm and the outer circle is at 4 μm. (C) Roughness of lamellipodial contours under conditions as in A, with red: hypotonic medium; blue: isotonic media; green: 150 μM deoxycholate; black: strong hypertonic media. The roughness of the cell body under isotonic conditions is shown in blue -x- symbols. Roughness is represented as the percent of the total area involved in protrusions or invaginations that depart from the equivalent ellipse that matches the contour (see Materials and Methods). Significant differences are marked with asterisks. Cell body roughness under different tension conditions were not significantly different (Fig. S3) so only the isotonic data are shown. (D) MSP fiber visualization under different membrane tension conditions. Labels as in A. Images were denoised with the Safir program (see Materials and Methods). The lengths and angles of the fibers were measured for 20 such cells per condition to generate the data shown in E, G, and H. (E) Absolute values of fiber angles with respect to the long axis of the lamellipodium (defined as 0°) were averaged to give the average fiber angle (θ) per cell shown in dot-plot format. Color coding as in C. Asterisks mark significantly different populations. (F) Cartoon of a sperm cell with fibers as thick gray lines. Polymerization and depolymerization zones are delineated by blue and red dashed lines, respectively. The apparent polymerization speed (v_sharp, red arrow) corresponds to the projection (dotted line) of the polymerization flux (v_p, black arrow) along the cell axis and decreases with increasing filament misalignment (angle θ) according to the relation (v_p) = v_p (cos θ). The energy cost of membrane deformation around finger-like protrusions is reduced when neighboring protrusions align with one another (see text). (G) Plot of average apparent polymerization speeds versus average cos θ under four membrane tension conditions. All values ±/− SD. The linear correlation is 0.97, with Hyper++ on the curve upon full extrapolation of the error bars in both the x and y directions. (H) Plot of average filament length versus average cos θ under four membrane tension conditions. All values ±/− SD. All length differences between the different conditions are significantly different. The linear correlation is 0.97. In A and D, bar = 5 μm.
lines in fluorescent MSP images, and using the area and dimension functions of Metamorph. For Fig. 3C, images of transitioning sperm cells were aligned using a Matlab algorithm. Briefly, for each image the center of mass of the sperm cell was calculated by detecting the cell contour with the Sobel method. Cell orientation was then defined by the smoothest tangent of the resulting trajectory. Images were superimposed via translation and rotation before making the kymograph. Membrane contours were observed by using the orthogonal planes function of Metamorph and taking the XZ section midway between the circle transcribed by the cell body and the tip of the lamellipodia at its longest. As a control, the XZ section bisecting the cell body was also taken. Using a Matlab script, the area and center of mass of the contour was calculated, an equivalent ellipse was generated, the cell body was also taken. Using a Matlab script, the area and centers of mass were quantified under different conditions by using images of sperm from peel-T promoter strains (EG5897) denoised using the program Safir (22) and analyzed manually in Metamorph. For length measurements, fibers were assumed to be straight. A bend or branch was taken to be the starting point of a new filament.

**Tether Extrusion.** Five to seven male worms were dissected into a drop of sperm media plus PVP and pronase (see above), and extruded sperm were allowed to activate for 3–5 min, then diluted 1:5 into sperm media plus 1:100 protease inhibitors (Sigma P8340), and 1–2 μL of Concanavalin A (Sigma) coated 2-μm beads (PolySciences) in a chamber made of a 1-cm diameter ring of clay sandwiched between two coverslips. Activated sperm cells were allowed to settle and adhere to the bottom coverslip. The optical trap setup (23) is based on a modified commercial Nikon Eclipse TE2000 inverted microscope with a nano LP100 piezo stage (Mad City Labs). Optical trapping was achieved in the infrared channel (λ = 1,070 nm) and bright field illumination in the near infrared channel (750 < λ < 900 nm). Concanavalin A-coated beads were held in the trap, adherent cells were moved to the bead using the piezo stage, and once adhered, slowly drawn away, pulling out a membrane tube. The force exerted by the tube was calculated from the equation \
\[
f = k(x − x_0),
\]
where f is force, k is the trap stiffness, and x − x₀ is the bead displacement, measured as described in ref. 24. For measuring tether force under hyposmotic conditions, a tube was pulled in isotonic conditions and then water was flowed into the chamber, gradually lowering the osmotic strength of the medium. During the flow in, the tether force was recorded, while the tether was maintained at a constant length. The control was performed by maintaining a tube without injection of water.
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Fig. S1. Sperm cells expressing tagRFP-T::major sperm protein (MSP) driven by the spe-11 and the peel-1 promotor display identical translocation speeds. Dot plot of displacement speed of sperm cells bearing the peel-1 promotor (open symbols) and the spe-11 promotor (closed symbols). Despite the fact that the peel-1 promotor drives more efficient expression of fluorescently tagged MSP, the motility of the sperm cells and their overall morphology and behavior are identical.

Fig. S2. Fluorescent MSP structures correspond to features observable by transmitted light microscopy. Dot plot of retrograde flow speeds (○), crawling speeds (▵), and stationary retrograde flow speeds (□) for a population of pronase-activated sperm cells with MSP expression driven by the spe-11 promotor as measured from confocal fluorescence (blue) and transmitted light (red) microscopy (Nomarski, phase contrast and bright field). The absolute values of retrograde flows are represented. Measurements made using fluorescence versus transmitted light are not significantly different by an unpaired Student’s t test: \( p = 0.075 \) for retrograde flow during movement, \( p = 0.12 \) for cell speed. The fact that features observable by transmitted light often coincided with fluorescent features and flowed at the same rates was evidence that what was seen by transmitted light corresponded to cytoskeleton superstructures.
Fig. S3. Roughness of the cell body under different conditions of membrane tension. Roughness of cell body contours under different conditions, red: hypotonic medium (130 mOsm); blue: isotonic media (175 mOsm); green: 150 μm deoxycholate (DC); black: strong hypertonic media (350 mOsm). Roughness is represented as the percent of the total area involved in protrusions or invaginations that depart from the equivalent ellipse that matches the contour (see Materials and Methods). Cell body roughnesses under different tension conditions were not significantly different, indicating that our measurement of increased lamellipodial roughness in DC and Hyper++ cells (Fig. 4C) is not solely due to increased membrane fluctuations due to decreased membrane tension.

Fig. S4. Distribution of fluorescent MSP fibers’ orientation under different membrane tension conditions. Fiber angles, \( \theta \), with respect to the direction of movement (defined as \( \theta = 0^\circ \)). About 20 cells were evaluated per condition, and each dot represents a fiber. Red: hypotonic medium (130 mOsm); blue: isotonic media (175 mOsm); green: 150 μm DC; black: strong hypertonic media (350 mOsm). Increasing membrane tension (“Hypo”) results in a narrower distribution of orientations with many filaments aligned in the direction of movement, whereas decreased membrane tension (“Hyper++”) gives a wider distribution of orientations with few fibers at 0°.
**Fig. S5.** MSP assembly occurs exclusively at the leading edge. (A) Photobleaching experiments of stationary (Upper) and crawling (Lower) sperm cells. Cells bearing the weaker spe-11 promotor were used because bleaching was more efficient. Still images are shown with the accompanying kymographs taken from the red lines drawn in the first frame. An asterisk marks the region of photobleaching. The bleached holes (arrows) moved backward until disappearing into the cell body (arrowheads). The photobleached region flowed back from the edge as a single unit with clear borders at the same speed as the rest of the MSP cytoskeleton and did not disintegrate until reaching the cell body, nor did the bleached region refill with fluorescence except for a slight haze probably due to the diffusion of globular MSP into the bleached area. This confirmed that MSP assembly was occurring at the front of the cell and not along the sides or throughout the lamellipodia. (B) Still images from a time-lapse movie of a representative crawling hypertonic (Hyper++) cell (350 mOsm). Images were de-noised with the Safir program (see Materials and Methods). Fiber complexes at the cell edges (examples marked with triangles) do not move inward toward the cell interior, indicating that polymerization is not happening on the sides of the lamellipodia under relaxed membrane tension conditions. In A, vertical bar = 5 μm, and horizontal bar = 15 s. In B, bar = 5 μm. Elapsed time is noted on the last image of each series.

**Movie S1.** Sperm expressing fluorescently labeled MSP under the peel-1 promotor. Movie to accompany still image in Fig. 1B. Bar 5 μm.

**Movie S1 (AVI)**
**Movie S2.** In vivo imaging of sperm cells expressing fluorescently labeled MSP in an intact worm uterus illustrates a cluster of stationary cells undergoing cytoskeletal turnover. The first image is a bright field image of the same view. Bar = 5 μm.  
*Movie S2 (AVI)*

**Movie S3.** Movies to accompany still images in Fig. 1C of crawling and stationary cells. *(Right)* Crawling cell; *(Left)* stationary cell. Bar = 5 μm.  
*Movie S3 (AVI)*

**Movie S4.** Membrane tether pulled from the lamellipodium of an active sperm cell. The bead is held in an optical trap and the cell is brought to the bead. Once adhered, the cell is backed away, pulling a membrane tether that is not usually visible but is evident when the trap is released: The membrane retracts and the bead recoils. Bar = 5 μm.  
*Movie S4 (AVI)*
Movie S5. Dual imaging of membrane and cytoskeleton in active sperm cells. (Left to Right) Transmitted light, fluorescent MSP (peel-1 strain), membrane dye, and overlay. Red: MSP cytoskeleton; green: membrane dye. MSP fibers are seen to abut the membrane and deform it. No bare membrane bulges are observed. Bar = 5 μm.

Movie S5 (AVI)

Movie S6. Z stacks of sperm cells labeled with membrane dye under hypotonic, isotonic, DC, and hypertonic (++) conditions, played in that order, with the scale bar indicating the beginning of each new stack sequence. Each plane is 300 nm apart. Still images of the largest XY cross-section under each condition are shown in Fig. 4A. Bar = 5 μm.

Movie S6 (AVI)